UMBC UGC New Course Request: GCSP 301 (or ENES 301)

Date Submitted: 1/25/16 Proposed Effective Date: Fall 2016

	Name	Email	Phone	Dept
Dept Chair or UPD	Julia Ross, Dean	jross@umbc.edu	x53270	COEIT
Other Contact	Marie desJardins, Assoc. Dean	mariedj@umbc.edu	x53967	COEIT

COURSE INFORMATION:

Course Number(s)	GCSP 301 (or ENES 301)		
Formal Title	Grand Challenges Orientation Seminar		
Transcript Title (≤30c)	Grand Challenges Orientation		
Recommended Course Preparation	N/A		
Prerequisite NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, a prerequisite is assumed to be passed with a "D" or better.	N/A		
Credits	1		
Repeatable?	☐ Yes X No		
Max. Total Credits	1 This should be equal to the number of credits for courses that cannot be repeated for credit. For courses that may be repeated for credit, enter the maximum total number of credits a student can receive from this course. E.g., enter 6 credits for a 3 credit course that may be taken a second time for credit, but not for a third time. Please note that this does NOT refer to how many times a class may be retaken for a higher grade.		
Grading Method(s)	X Reg (A-F)		

PROPOSED CATALOG DESCRIPTION (no longer than 75 words):

This seminar provides students with an introduction and foundation for the National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenge Scholars Program, including the Grand Challenges themselves, ethical frameworks, Responsible Conduct of Research training, and initial creation of a GCSP e-portfolio. The seminar will also develop effective teamwork skills, including managing diversity and understanding implicit bias. Students will identify a particular Grand Challenge and focus area. *Permission required. Restricted to students who have been admitted to the Grand Challenge Scholars Program.*

RATIONALE FOR NEW COURSE:

The GC Orientation Seminar is part of a newly proposed Grand Challenge Scholars Program at UMBC that is under review by the National Academy of Engineering to become part of the NAE's nationwide GCSP. To apply to the program, students must have completed three semesters at UMBC (one semester for transfer students). Engineering and computing majors are expected to have completed their gateway requirements. As such, the seminars designed for students admitted to the program are appropriate for offering at the 300 and 400 level.

In their first semester after admission into the program, students will take this 1-credit seminar to understand the program requirements, begin developing the skills and knowledge they will need, and start to design their pathway through the program. The course will initially be taught once a year, in the fall, but may be repeated in the spring if there is a sufficiently large demand for spring entrance into the program. The Orientation Seminar is followed by two other seminars, the GCSP Program Seminar (GCSP 302, to be taken in the 2nd semester in the program) and the GCSP Leadership Program (GCSP 401, to be taken in the 3rd or 4th semester in the program). The latter two seminars will be submitted for approval by the UGC at a later date.

All three seminars will be administered by the Dean's office in COEIT. However, the GCSP program is open to students from all departments and disciplines. Therefore, the GCSP course prefix is being requested as a unique designation for this interdisciplinary, campus-wide program. If the GCSP designation is not approved, we would use the ENES designation that already exists.

ATTACH COURSE OUTLINE (mandatory):

GCSP 301 – Grand Challenges Orientation Seminar Proposed Syllabus

Course Description

This seminar provides students with an introduction and foundation for the National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenge Scholars Program, including the Grand Challenges themselves, ethical frameworks, Responsible Conduct of Research training, and initial creation of a GCSP e-portfolio. The seminar will also develop effective teamwork skills, including managing diversity and understanding implicit bias. Students will identify a particular Grand Challenge and focus area. *Permission required. Restricted to students who have been admitted to the Grand Challenge Scholars Program.*

Overview of Course Structure

The course includes three interleaved arcs: Grand Challenges, Curricular Themes, and Interpersonal Skills. The Grand Challenges arc explores each of the four GC areas (Sustainability, Healthcare, Security, and Learning and Education), using the textbook, *Abundance*, as the primary reading material. As part of this arc, students will present the main reading assignments in small groups of 1-3 students (depending on enrollment) in a short (10-minute) prepared presentation. The Curricular Themes arc explores the five requirements of the Grand Challenge Scholars Program (research, interdisciplinarity, entrepreneurship, global perspectives, and service learning), augmnenting the textbook with supplementary reading and multimedia materials. This arc will conclude with the students presenting their individualized GC completion plan, identifying their selected Grand Challenge and sharing how they will meet each of the curriculum requirements. The Interpersonal Skills arc develops important personal and interpersonal skills for succeeding in the program, and will be tracked and assessed through written reflections.

Students' grades will be based on the following weights:

Class participation 35%
GC reading presentation 10%
GC portfolio presentation 15%
Written reflections 20%
E-portfolio 20%

Textbook

The primary textbook for the course is *Abundance: The Future is Better Than You Think*, by Peter H. Diamandis and Steven Kotler (Free Press, 2012). The textbook will be supplemented by additional readings and multimedia materials.

Schedule of Topics

All students are responsible for completing the assigned reading before class (with the exception of the first class's assignment, which should be completed by the second week). Chapters of the *Abundance* textbook are referred to as "A#."

Week	Topic	Assigned Reading	Assignments and Notes
1	Introduction to the	NAE Grand Challenges	
	Grand Challenges	Report	
2	Designing Your GCSP	A1, "Our Grandest	
	Experiences	Challenge"	
3	Ethical Frameworks	A2, "Building the Pyramid"	Reflection: RCR
	and Responsible	A11, "The	Training
	Research	Technophilanthropists"	
4	Sustainability	A8, "Water"	
	Challenges	A13, "Energy"	
5	Global Perspectives;	A12, "The Rising Billion"	Reflection: Implicit
	Diversity and Implicit	Paragon of the Polygons	Bias
(Bias	A15 "Health acre"	
6 7	Healthcare Challenges	A15, "Healthcare"	D Cl. C
/	Teamwork and	A7, "The Tools of	Reflection:
	Handling Conflicts	Cooperation"	Teamwork
	C : Cl 11	Brounsten and Lau articles	Experiences
8	Security Challenges	Sanger article	D Cl
9	Entrepreneurship;	A10, "The DIY Innovator"	Reflection:
	Creating an E-	A18, "Risk and Failure"	Creativity &
10	Portfolio	A4 A ((T) 1))	Innovation
10	Learning and	A14, "Education"	
11	Education Challenges	A4 F ((C 1))	D.C C
11	Service; Focusing	A15, "Freedom"	Reflection: Service
	Your GCSP	A19, "Which Way Next?"	& Science
	Experiences: Selecting		
12	a Challenge		
12	Student Presentations		
13	Student Presentations		
14	Student Presentations		D (C): 1
15	Student Presentations		E-portfolio due

Readings

Vi Hart and Nicki Case, Paragon of the Polygons: A Playable Post on the Shape of Society. http://ncase.me/polygons/

National Academy of Engineering, "Grand Challenges for Engineering," 2008. http://engineeringchallenges.org/File.aspx?id=11574&v=ba24e2ed

Marty Brounsten, "Ten Qualities of an Effective Team Member," at www.dummies.com (from Managing Teams for Dummies).

http://www.dummies.com/how-to/content/ten-qualities-of-an-effective-team-player.html

Edmond Lau, "Why and Where is Teamwork Important?" *Forbes*, January 23, 2013. http://www.forbes.com/sites/quora/2013/01/23/why-and-where-is-teamwork-important/#2715e4857a0b465b208132d9

David E. Sanger, "Nuclear Facilities in 20 Countries May Be Easy Targets for Cyberattacks," *New York Times*, January 14, 2016.

http://www.nytimes.com/2016/01/15/world/nuclear-threat-initiative-cyberattack-study.html

Reuters, "Group Claiming to Oppose ISIS Says It Committed Cyberattack on BBC," *New York Times*, January 2, 2016.

 $\underline{\text{http://www.nytimes.com/2016/01/03/world/europe/group-claiming-to-oppose-isis-says-it-committed-cyberattack-on-bbc.html}$

Paul Rosenzweig, "A Bad News Report: We Can't Do Cybersecurity. Period." *Lawfare*, January 24, 2016. https://www.lawfareblog.com/bad-news-roundup-we-cant-do-cybersecurity-period

Jamie Morgan, "FDA Guidance Tackles Medical Device Cybersecurity Issues," *H&HN*, January 22, 2016. http://www.hhnmag.com/articles/6884-fda-guidance-tackles-medical-device-cybersecurity-issues